23 May 1975

TALKING PAPER FOR: D/DCI/IC

SUBJECT:

New Commitments to the NSCIC Working Group 20 May 1975 Meeting

ILLEGIB

25X1

- 1. You suggested future high-interest presentations.

 Comment: I suggest MBFR which was another topic listed by

 Ellsworth and have sounded out _______ It can be

 done; perhaps just for appearances it should be DIA.
- 2. You promised that the Objectives would be passed back from the DCI through the NSCIC WG to NSCIC in "4 or 5 days." Comment: It should be sent out with the agenda and the WG invited to add comments in a covering memo to NSCIC.
- 3. You expressed the hope that the paper on explicit uncertainties might be ready by the next meeting. Comment: 25X1A9A

 have drafted portions which could be circulated to Ellsworth in a week on a straw-man basis.

 The MBFR presentation will highlight that aspect of the problem.

4. You promised RADM Hilton that we would draft Terms of Reference for the Working Group (and Intelligence Panel)

and send them around "in the next few days." Comment: These also should be sent out with the new agenda and draft minutes.

- 5. You promised Mr. Collins of the Treasury that you would send him a list of the locations of ______ Comment: 25X1A3C I am sending this separately to Treasury today.
- 6. You promised Ellsworth (and the others) the IC Staff Post-Mortem on the October 1973 War. Comment: Registry is \mathcal{O}^{\times} requesting 15 more copies from the print shop. We can send these out next week.
- 7. You agreed with Ober's request that the Post-Mortem on the Mayaguez receive "formal distribution to NSCIC through the Working Group for staffing." Comment: You will have to interpret this broadly--i.e., as an exercise rather than formal coordination--since the paper is now going from the DCI to the NSC.
- 8. You promised a memorandum to the Group on who writes, who contributes to, and who reviews the Family of Guidance documents. Comment: I will pull together with advice from MPRRD.
- 9. You promised to send a brief statement of concern on behalf of the Working Group to the NIOs, regarding the time lag in issuance of DCI Guidance Documents. Comment: Mr. Ober makes the point that, with the schedule continually slipping, the consumer gets little opportunity to make an input, for Approved For Release 2003/12/09: CIA-RDP84B00506R000100120009-3

2

example in drawing up the KIQs. However, said 25X1A9A that "the KIQs don't count; everything can be counted as the KIQ; the end product counts; consumers should review the end product." RADM Hilton's memo lobbying for tie-in with DOD budget cycle is reasonable from his point of view, but statement of concern I am drafting will try to accommodate all three views. The statement then should go as a cover memo on the Perspectives comments to the NIOs.

and issue it as an IC Staff finished publication. Comment:

has been informed of suggestions for revision.

You might want to consider whether this ought to be incorporated place into the Family of National Intelligence Guidance Documents.

11. You owe Ober a response on his follow-up memo on Arab-Israeli intelligence problems. Comment: Hartmann of NSC can provide Focus B and Discussion to Ober now or, in final form, in "about 10 days." It will go through us. I advised Ober by telephone, he says he wants pullimine, version asap. If astructure to have by Tuesday.

12. The Cordesman memo of 21 May will require a separate

response drafted by us. <u>Comment</u>: We should discuss this item by item, since he cannot be accommodated on all of these requests.

25X1

13. You promised to hold the next WG meeting in early
June. Cordesman, among others, now seeks some delay. I
suggested Friday, 13 June, at 1400 in WHSR to Ober; he asks
he to their Excom muting

•	, 25X1A	9A